

## **REMARKS**

In the Office action, the Examiner has determined that claims directed toward more than one species and sub-species of the generic invention are presented in the present application, and has required applicant to elect a single species and sub-species therein for consideration if no generic claims are considered to be allowable.

The Species are defined as follows:

- I. Fig. 2
- II. Fig. 6

The Sub-species are defined as follows:

Within Species I.

- A. Fig. 3
- B. Fig. 3a
- C. Fig. 4
- D. Fig. 5

Within Species II.

- E. Fig. 7
- F. Fig. 8

In response to the restriction/election requirement, applicant hereby elects, without traverse, Species I and Sub-Species A. Applicant states the claim 1 is generic and requests consideration of this claim. Additionally, claims 2-4 and 7 as well as new claim 10 read on the elected species and sub-species and consideration

of these claims is also requested.

If clarification of any additional matters is required, the Examiner is invited to initiate a telephone interview with the undersigned attorney to expedite prosecution of the present application.

If there are any additional fees resulting from this communication, please charge same to our Deposit Account No. 18-0160, our Order No. SCH-15950.

Respectfully submitted,

RANKIN, HILL, PORTER & CLARK LLP

By /James A. Balazs/  
James A. Balazs, Reg. No. 47401

38210 Glenn Avenue  
Willoughby, Ohio 44094-7808  
(216) 566-9700